

Is there a largest small set?

Kocsis Anett

Supervisor: Elekes Márton

The basic question

- Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on X , and a group $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$, such that \mathcal{I} is invariant under G ,
- that is, if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ then $gI \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $g \in G$.

Definition

We say that I^ is a largest element of \mathcal{I} with respect to G , if for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $g \in G$ such that $gI^* \supseteq I$.*

The basic question

- Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on X , and a group $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$, such that \mathcal{I} is invariant under G ,
- that is, if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ then $gI \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $g \in G$.

Definition

We say that I^ is a largest element of \mathcal{I} with respect to G , if for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $g \in G$ such that $gI^* \supseteq I$.*

The basic question

- Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on X , and a group $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$, such that \mathcal{I} is invariant under G ,
- that is, if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ then $gI \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $g \in G$.

Definition

We say that I^ is a largest element of \mathcal{I} with respect to G , if for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $g \in G$ such that $gI^* \supseteq I$.*

The basic question

- Given an ideal \mathcal{I} on X , and a group $G \leq \text{Sym}(X)$, such that \mathcal{I} is invariant under G ,
- that is, if $I \in \mathcal{I}$ then $gI \in \mathcal{I}$ for any $g \in G$.

Definition

We say that I^ is a largest element of \mathcal{I} with respect to G , if for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $g \in G$ such that $gI^* \supseteq I$.*

Examples

- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Sym}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = nowhere dense sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = first category sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = sets of $[0, 1]^d$ with Lebesgue measure 0,
 $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$, $G = \text{Bilip}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,
- \mathcal{I} = σ -compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,

Examples

- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Sym}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = nowhere dense sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = first category sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = sets of $[0, 1]^d$ with Lebesgue measure 0,
 ~~$G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$~~ , $G = \text{Bilip}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,
- \mathcal{I} = σ -compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,

Examples

- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Sym}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = nowhere dense sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = first category sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = sets of $[0, 1]^d$ with Lebesgue measure 0,
 ~~$G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$~~ , $G = \text{Bilip}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,
- \mathcal{I} = σ -compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,

Examples

- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = countable sets of \mathbb{R} , $G = \text{Sym}(\mathbb{R})$,
- \mathcal{I} = nowhere dense sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = first category sets in $[0, 1]^d$, $G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = sets of $[0, 1]^d$ with Lebesgue measure 0,
 ~~$G = \text{Homeo}([0, 1]^d)$~~ , $G = \text{Bilip}([0, 1]^d)$,
- \mathcal{I} = compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,
- \mathcal{I} = σ -compact sets of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, $G = \text{Homeo}(\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}})$,

Motivation

- recent paper of J. Zapletal
- Permutation models: ZFA models
- The following generalization of largest set turned out to be useful:

Definition

We say that \mathcal{I} has largest element in the strong sense with respect to G , if for any $J \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $I_J \in \mathcal{I}$, such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $gI_J \supseteq I$, and g fixes J .

- The associated permutation model satisfies the axiom of well-ordered choice iff this holds for the ideal.

Motivation

- recent paper of J. Zapletal
- Permutation models: ZFA models
- The following generalization of largest set turned out to be useful:

Definition

We say that \mathcal{I} has largest element in the strong sense with respect to G , if for any $J \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $I_J \in \mathcal{I}$, such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $gI_J \supseteq I$, and g fixes J .

- The associated permutation model satisfies the axiom of well-ordered choice iff this holds for the ideal.

Motivation

- recent paper of J. Zapletal
- Permutation models: ZFA models
- The following generalization of largest set turned out to be useful:

Definition

We say that \mathcal{I} has largest element in the strong sense with respect to G , if for any $J \in \mathcal{I}$ there is $I_J \in \mathcal{I}$, such that for any $I \in \mathcal{I}$ there exists $g \in G$ such that $gI_J \supseteq I$, and g fixes J .

- The associated permutation model satisfies the axiom of well-ordered choice iff this holds for the ideal.

The results

- There is a largest nowhere dense set of $[0, 1]^d$, even in the strong sense. The Sierpinski set is a largest set nowhere dense set.
- There is a largest meager set of $[0, 1]^d$, but there is no largest in the strong sense.
- There is NO largest zero measure set of $[0, 1]^d$.
- There is a largest compact set of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, even in the strong sense. Actually, every perfect compact set is largest.
- There is a largest σ -compact set of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The results

- There is a largest nowhere dense set of $[0, 1]^d$, even in the strong sense. The Sierpinski set is a largest set nowhere dense set.
- There is a largest meager set of $[0, 1]^d$, but there is no largest in the strong sense.
- There is NO largest zero measure set of $[0, 1]^d$.
- There is a largest compact set of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, even in the strong sense. Actually, every perfect compact set is largest.
- There is a largest σ -compact set of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

The results

- There is a largest nowhere dense set of $[0, 1]^d$, even in the strong sense. The Sierpinski set is a largest set nowhere dense set.
- There is a largest meager set of $[0, 1]^d$, but there is no largest in the strong sense.
- There is NO largest zero measure set of $[0, 1]^d$.
- There is a largest compact set of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$, even in the strong sense. Actually, every perfect compact set is largest.
- There is a largest σ -compact set of $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

What's next?

- Other applications?
- Other ideals?
- Describing the full hierarchy?

Thank you for your attention!